Exploiting Complexity



Is Agent-based Modelling 'Real-worldready'? - A Systematic Analysis



Patrick Beautement Research Director



A presentation to: UCL / Global System Dynamics Project

Date: 25 Nov 2009

© abaci 2009 Registered UK Business: OC316272

Aim, Context and Assumptions



• Aim - to examine the assertion:

Agent-based Modelling (ABM) is not yet 'real-world ready'

- sub-aim: to consider what may have to be 'done differently' if the assertion proves to be justified
- Context UK National Infrastructure for the 21st Century
- Assumptions, that the audience is:
 - fully familiar with ABM
 - also familiar with terminology and concepts from complexity science
- Given, that:
 - we all know models are wrong but that some are useful!
 - no one disputes Gödel's 'Incompleteness Theorem'



- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- **4** ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?



 To be real-world-ready is to be able to meet the needs of *Practitioners* - defined as:

"Those who have to engage with the *Complex Realities* of day-to-day life in their work"

• Complex Realities being defined as:

"Real-world situations which co-evolve with humans in some environment in a dynamic manner which cannot be stopped and which can only be changed through engagement and influence."

- Assertions:
 - "To be RWR, Agent-based Modeling (ABM) must be able to deal with the consequences of these Realities."
 - "Real-world 'systems' are open and cannot be bounded".

1-2 Describing Complex Realities - a Thought Experiment

- Think of a fast-flowing river:
 - your task is to navigate down it to win a race,
 - the river contains rocks, rapids and whirlpools,
 - other people are already on the river, exploiting its dynamics to speed their journey
 - you can't get left behind, you must engage.
- How do you approach this task?
 - stand on the bank and measure and plan? That's not enough
 - do you have the capabilities to engage to get on the river?
- The River is a metaphor for the kind of dynamic and complex operational environment we Practitioners face



Partnership

1-3 Practitioners' view of Complex Realities



- To make effective decisions in complex environments requires us Practitioners to understand:
 - what causes (complex) phenomena to come about we have to deal with the realities - can't 'assume' them away
 - how to engage with, shape and influence various types of dynamic phenomena
 - what we (and our partners) need to do differently when decision-making and acting in complex environments
 - how to specify capabilities which are suitable for use in complex environments - such that they are 'complexityworthy' [in the way we expect things to be sea-worthy]
- We Practitioners do NOT need complexity science tutorials
 but we do need to know how to put complexity to work more effectively. Can ABM help? Is it RWR?

1-4 Characterising Real-world Readiness



- What are the potential dimensions of RWR? Measured against the ability to address / deal with:
 - the past, the now, the future
 - scales and variety of contexts:
 - over time milliseconds to millenia
 - over size micro to macro
 - various degrees of certainty / knowability
 - various degrees of variety / homogeneity
 - dynamic, emergent 'on-the-fly' phenomena
 - various natures of interdependency / connectedness
- All too complicated suggest assess RWR in terms Practitioners would understand . . .

1-5 Dimensions of Real-world Readiness



- This presentation will assess ABM against four dimensions examining their ability to address a range of:
 - information qualities
 - problem solving and decision-making styles
 - types of phenomena
 - time horizons
- This will provide a framework for systematic analysis
- In addition, this presentation will touch on previous research which has:
 - documented the level of performance of agents in general
 - indicated the challenges to be addressed



- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- 4 ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?





© abaci 2009

2-2 Information Qualities in Detail



The

Partnership

2-3 ABM and Puzzles and Mysteries

- Mystery: eg Resilience across CNI (involves emergence, imaginatio reativity) ension critical
 - Have no / little knowledge of the nature or extent of
 - uman din Build theories / hypotheses or 'fantasies' mets of evidence / patterns)
 - ctable for ABM -Project the 'models' in to the compare to the (multiple) perceptions of the world - inconsid incradiction' must be allowed to co-exist
- This area largely int maicators / weights of evidence that might exist / be required
 - Gerully direct the sensing (may shake the tree first) to support / refute etc
 - No 'final, correct' answer, instead: judgement, assessment, probability etc
- knowable and collectable Puzzle: eg, Logistic scheduling (can be a procedure) ٠
 - Know the puzzle (bound the problem) المعقر Tanng is missing
 - Able to classify the missing " Scribe it in 'fact-like' terms

This area tractable for ABMs -Finat search result or item collected is the missing one The to fit the new fact in the puzzle and confirm it is the 'right' piece



- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- 4 ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?









- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- **4** ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?





4-1 Decision-making strategies



- Group 4 Consider possible futures:
 - Enact: conceive futures, probe, hypothesise, seed
- Group 3 Engage and influence:
 - Explore: engage, perceive, adapt, influence
- Group 2 Planning and control:
 - Discover: sense, analyse, plan, respond
- Group 1 Analysis of the past:
 - View: sense, recognise, react

aci 2009	Group 1: For Analysis of the Past	Group 2: For Planning and Control	Group 3: To Engage and Influence	Group 4: Consider Possible Futures
Routine	Collation and cataloguing	Orders and reporting	Standardised procedures	Rule following <u>Predictio</u> n Horizon
Predictable	'Detective work' analysis	Planning and scheduling tools	Policy	'Estimating process'
Complex	Operational analysis / intelligence	Probabil Develop Contingencies	ities Good leadership	Crisis Teams
Chaotic	SMEs (analyse fractals / attractors)	No capability	Luck Possibiliti	Imagination / brainstorming ^{es}
4-2 M	Natch Appr	oach to Ph	nenomena	The abac Partners

17



4-4 Complex realities - Assessment Cube

National Infrastructure for the 21st century is where?



The

Partnership



- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- 4 ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?









5-3 Issues of cross-scale Interactions

- Human-machine 'symbiosis' (extend into cyberspace)
- Human society, structures and machines
- Socially intelligent beings who conceive futures
- Tribal, co-operative creatures
- Stereo-vision air-breathing creatures
- Fast 'pack' land animals
- Purposeful creatures
- 'Sensible', free-moving creatures
- Self-*, cell-based forms
- 'Stable' biological environment
- 'Stable' geo-chemical environment
- Large-scale to sub-nano-scale structures and forces

All are, potentially, significant actors in Real-world Complex Realities



- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- 4 ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?

6-1 Some Relevant Research



- DAMAS: Defence Applications of Multi-agent Systems:
 - Beautement, P. Allsopp, D. Greaves, M. Goldsmith, S. Spires, S. Thompson, S. Janicke, H. 'Autonomous Agents and Multi-agent Systems (AAMAS) Issues and Challenges' published in "Lecture Notes in Computer Science". From: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11683704_1. Applications of Multi-Agent Systems: International Workshop, DAMAS 2005, Utrecht.
- NASA / AMES:
 - Making Agents Acceptable to People. Bradshaw, J. M., Beautement, P., Breedy, M. R., Bunch, L., Drakunov, S. V., Feltovich, P., Hoffman, R. R., Jeffers, R., Johnson, M., Kulkarni, S., Lott, J., Raj, A. K., Suri, N., & Uszok, A. (2003). In N. Zhong and J. Liu (Eds.), Handbook of Intelligent Information Technology. Amsterdam: IOS Press / Springer, 2004.
 - Bradshaw, J M, Boy, G, Durfee, E, Gruninger, M, Hexmoor, H, Suri, N, Tambe, M, Uschold, M, and Vitek, J., editors. Software Agents for the Warfighter. ITAC Consortium Report. 2002. Cambridge, Massachusetts, AAAI Press/The MIT Press.
- DARPA:
 - The Coalition Agents Experiment: Network-enabled Coalition Operations. M Kirton et al. In Journal of Defence Science (Special edition), Vol 8, No 3, Sep 2003.
 - Coalition Agents Experiment: Multi-Agent Co-operation in an International Coalition Setting. David N. Allsopp et al. In a special edition of the IEEE, Intelligent Systems Journal, 2002.



- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- 4 ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?



7-1 Is ABM Real-world ready?



The

7-2 Heterogeneous Realities





7-3 Is ABM Real-world ready?





The machine 'value-space' has its own drivers which, innately, are not the same as those in human-space. For agents to reason on our behalf, it is necessary to perform some mapping. The question is, what are the meaningful equivalents in terms of what can be sensed / perceived / reasoned about / effected etc? © abaci 2009

7-4 Is ABM Real-world ready?



- In Practitioners terms?
 - No, ABM is not RWR
- In academic terms?
 - Yes, for certain applications
- Impediments:
 - The space of Complex Realities extends into that part which is inaccessible to machines / machine representations
 - ABMs lack the necessary requisite variety for RWR solutions
 - Lack of appropriate data (knowability / collectability)
 - Computability impossible across scope, level, scale
 - The 'Deep Thought' / "The answer is 42" effect*
- ه abaci 2009 * See Douglas Thees "Predicterionid torizon". Gödel's 'Incompleteness Theorem'.

7-5 What needs to be done differently? "abaci

- For agent-based modellers:
 - acknowledge the consequences of the Complex realities:
 - be pragmatic about the bounds on modelling
 - in terms related to the Practitioners' context / perceptions:
 - clearly express assumptions, limitations and constraints
 - engage in a dialogue about the relevance of the model
- For Practitioners, be able to understand:
 - the scope / relevance of the model's 'results'
 - their options / request appropriate techniques
 - apply 'common sense'
- For both: a toolset 'Symptom Sorter' is required:
 - work going ahead **based on the four dimensions**

Partnership

Questions?



- 1 What does 'Real-world-Ready' (RWR) mean?
- 2 ABM and Information Qualities
- 3 ABM and Types of Phenomena
- 4 ABM and Decision-making styles
- 5 ABM, Time and Scale
- 6 ABM maturity previous Research
- 7 ABM Is it Real-world-Ready?

Life! Don't talk to me about Life!



* Mark Ho

0-0 Some References

• Asimov, I. Choice of Catastrophes. Arrow Books. 1979.



- Ashby, W. R. Requisite variety and its implications for the control of complex systems. Cybernetica, 1:83-99. 1958.
- Beckers, R., O. E. Holland, and J.-L. Deneubourg. *From Local Actions to Global Tasks: Stigmergy and Collective Robotics*. In Artificial Life IV, edited by R. Brooks and P. Maes, 181-189. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1994.
- Bradshaw, J M, Dutfield, S, Benoit, P, and Woolley, J D. *KAoS: Toward an industrial-strength open agent architecture*. 1997. In Software Agents, AAAI Press / MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, editor J M Bradshaw. Pages 375-418.
- Chambers, R. Revolutions in Development Enquiry. Earthscan Books. 2008.
- Diamond, J. Collapse. Penguin Books. 2005.
- Hofstadter, Douglas R. Godel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid. p713. Penguin. ISBN 0-14-00.5579-7. 1980.
- Jennings, N R. An Agent-based Approach for Building Complex Software Systems. 2001. Communications of the ACM, 44:4, 35-41.
- Mathieson G. Complexity and Managing to Survive it. DSTL (2005). Institutte for the Study of Coherence and Emergence (I.S.C.E.): http://isce.edu/ISCE_Group_Site/web-content/ISCE_Events/Cork_2005/Papers/Mathieson.pdf
- Mattis, Gen. Effects-based Approaches banned. http://www.futurefastforward.com/component/content/article/902-military-intelligence/608-usjfcom-commanders-guidance-for-effects-based-operations-by-james-n-mattis-latest-update-251108? tmpl=component&print=1&page=
- Morowitz, Harold J. The Emergence of Everything : How the World became Complex. Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Pawson, R. Expressive Systems A manifesto for radical Business Software. CSC's Research Services. 2001.
- Poussart, D. Complexity: An Overview of its Nature, Manifestations, and Links to Science & Technology Convergence, with Comments on its Relevance to Canadian Defence. Canadian DRDC. 2006.
- Prigogine, I. From Being to Becoming: Time and Complexity in the Physical Sciences. p214. Freeman. 1980.
- Ramalingam, B. and Jones, H. Exploring the science of complexity: Ideas and implications for development and humanitarian efforts. ODI Working Paper 285. Oct 2008.
- Smuts, J.C. Holism and Evolution. MacMillan 1926.
- Treverton, G. Reshaping National Intelligence for an Age of Information. RAND. Cambridge, 2003.
- Wolfram, S. Cellular Automata as Models of Complexity. Physica 10D. 1984.
- © abaci 2009 Zander, R. S. The Art of Possibility. Penguin. 2007.

0-0 Michael Batty - ECCS'09

We do not have any idea how the people in our models will adapt to change and this is not new. The very fact a generation ago we though that we could treat cities in equilibrium is testament to the limits to our knowledge.

But I believe that what all this is showing is that we need new forms of intelligence system to deal with the future where we will have many different models running in parallel, mediated in a context that seeks to 'inform' rather than to 'predict'. The quest is to find the appropriate milieu in which to act in this way.



Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis

www.abacipartners.co.uk